

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/003,238	10/003,238 10/26/2001		Carlos A. Gonzalez	884.535US1	5267
21186	7590	06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHWEG P.O. BOX 2	-	UNDBERG, WOES	MITCHELL, JAMES M		
		N 55402-0938	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2813	
				D. MIC. V. II ED. O. MOMOO	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/003,238	GONZALEZ ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		James M. Mitchell	2813			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the mided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N). R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply . reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	8 April 2005.				
2a)□		This action is non-final.				
3)□	, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 22-52 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 22-52 are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		the Examiner.			
,,	Applicant may not request that any objection to	, , ,				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		, ,			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in Apple oriority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413)			
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date		lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the request for continued examination filed April 8, 2005.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of a particle embedded in a terminal that is made from an inhibiting material, the species of a particle embedded in a terminal where the size and shape make it potentially inhibit contact.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Anne McCracken on June 17, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1931. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:00.

Art Unit: 2813

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).